Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 075993 ORIGIN EB-08

INFO OCT-01 AF-10 ARA-10 EUR-12 EA-10 IO-13 ISO-00 L-03 FRB-03 OMB-01 ITC-01 SP-02 USIA-06 AGRE-00 AID-05 CIAE-00 COME-00 INR-10 LAB-04 NSAE-00 OIC-02 SIL-01 STR-07 TRSE-00 CEA-01 SS-15 DOTE-00 NSC-05 H-01 PA-01 /132 R

DRAFTED BY EB/TRA/MA:REJOHE:PJP

APPROVED BY EB/TRA/MA:RKBANK

EB/TRA:JATWOOD

AF/W:BKOTT

L/EB:JBELLO

AF/W:RHART

EB/IFD/ODF:ELOLLIS

EA/TIMBS:LROCKE

ARA/ECP:DTAHER

EA/PHL:BFLECK

EUR/RPE: PLAASE

IO: DCOHN

DOT: WDRISCOLL IO/IEP: EBRUCE

EB/TRA/MA: CTAYLOR JR

-----118598 241635Z/53

R 240300Z MAR 78

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY MEXICO

AMEMBASSY LIMA

AMEMBASSY BRASILIA

AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES

AMEMBASSY BOGOTA

AMEMBASSY ACCRA

AMEMBASSY JAKARTA

AMEMBASSY KUALA LUMPUR

AMEMBASSY MANILA

USMISSION GENEVA

INFO AMEMBASSY LAGOS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 075993

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

AMEMBASSY PARIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 075993

PARIS FOR USOECD

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: UNCTAD, EWWT

SUBJECT: RE-EVALUATION OF USG PARTICIPATION IN THE FIFTH UNCTAD INTERGOVERNMENTAL PREPARATORY GROUP (IPG) ON INTERNATIONAL MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT

1. SINCE LATE 1973, THE US HAS PARTICIPATED IN FOUR SESSIONS OF AN UNCTAD INTERGOVERNMENTAL PREPARATORY GROUP (IPG) WHICH HAS UNSUCCESSFULLY ATTEMPTED TO DEVELOP A DRAFT CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT (MT). SUCH A CONVENTION WOULD APPLY TO THE CARRIAGE OF A PRODUCT THAT IS TRANSPORTED BY SEVERAL DIFFERENT MEANS OF TRANSPORT SUCH AS RAIL, ROAD, AND MARITIME. SUBSEQUENT TO THE CONCLUSION OF THE GENERALLY UNPRODUCTIVE FOURTH IPG (NOV. 14-25, 1977), THE USG, WITH INDUSTRY CONCURRENCE, DECIDED THAT OUR PARTICIPATION IN THE FIFTH IPG, NOW TENTATIVELY SCHEDULED FOR SEPTEMBER 18-OCTOBER 6, 1978, SHOULD BE CRITICALLY EXAMINED. AN OVERRIDING QUESTION IS WHETHER THE IPG IS LIKELY TO PRODUCE A CONVENTION WHICH WILL BENEFIT THE US. THE USG HAS HAD DOUBTS ABOUT THIS SINCE THE SECOND IPG IN 1974. THUS. WE ARE NOW EVALUATING WHETHER IT IS IN THE US INTEREST TO CONTINUE IN THE IPG. LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 075993

2. AS PART OF THIS EVALUATION, THE DEPARTMENT WOULD

APPRECIATE THE VIEWPOINTS OF THE HOST GOVERNMENTS OF ACTION ADDRESSEES REGARDING (A) THE OBJECTIVES OF THEIR PARTICIPATION IN THE IPG, (B) THEIR ASSESSMENT OF THE PROSPECTS FOR MAKING PROGRESS AT FUTURE SESSIONS OF THE IPG AND (C) THEIR REACTION TO THE POSSIBILITY OF A US WITHDRAWAL. POSTS MAY DRAW UPON THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPHS 7 AND 8 WHEN DISCUSSING THE IPG WITH HOST GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS. THE REPRESENTATION SHOULD BE INFORMAL AND LOW-KEYED. REQUEST ADDRESSEES REPORT RESULTS TO DEPARTMENT (EB/TRA/MA) WITH INFO TO GENEVA AND OECD PARIS.

3. FYI: A SIMILAR INQUIRY WAS DIRECTED TO OECD COUNTRIES IN JANUARY WITH THE ADDITIONAL QUESTION OF WHETHER HOST GOVERNMENTS WOULD JOIN THE US IN WITH-DRAWING FROM THE IPG IF THE US DETERMINED SUCH ACTION AS APPROPRIATE. A SYNOPSIS OF THE REPLIES INDICATES THAT THE OECD COUNTRIES GENERALLY WANT TO WAIT UNTIL AFTER A JUNE 14-16 MEETING IN PARIS OF THE GROUP B MEMBERS OF THE UNCTAD IPG BEFORE MAKING A DECISION; SEVERAL WANT TO WAIT UNTIL AFTER THE 5TH IPG BEFORE MAKING A DECISION; THEY REALIZE THAT US PARTICIPATION IN ANY IPG IS VERY SIGNIFICANT IF ANY MT CONVENTION IS TO HAVE MEANING; AND THEY HOPE THAT THE US WILL DELAY ANY

WITHDRAWAL DECISION UNTIL AT LEAST AFTER THE JUNE MEETING. IN ANY EVENT, THEY WILL NOT SUPPORT A US WITHDRAWAL NOW, BUT SOME COUNTRIES MIGHT DO SO IF LITTLE PROGRESS IS MADE AT THE 5TH IPG. END FYI.

4. FOR MEXICO CITY AND LIMA: THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW MAY BE HELPFUL AS POINTS OF CONTACT, SINCE THEY HAVE REPRESENTED THEIR GOVERNMENTS AT PREVIOUS IPG'S:

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 075993

MEXICO - JOSE' JUAN VELARDE BONNIN, SUB-SECRETARIAT OF PORTS AND MERCHANT MARINES.

PERU - ALFONSO PANIZO ZARIQUAY, ASSOCIATION OF LATIN AMERICAN SHIPOPERATORS.

5. FOR BRASILIA, BUENOS AIRES, BOGOTA, ACCRA, JAKARTA, KUALA LUMPUR, MANILA: THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW FROM PERMANENT MISSIONS IN GENEVA, HAVE REPRESENTED THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNTRIES AT RECENT IPG'S. POSTS, HOWEVER, SHOULD CONTACT APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS IN HOST GOVERNMENT CAPITALS FOR OPINIONS:

BRAZIL - PEDRO BARROS ARGENTINA - GABRIEL MARTINEZ COLOMBIA - HECTOR CHARRY SAMPER

GHANA - H. MILLS-LUTTERODT INDONESIA - K. MARTOHADINEGORO MALAYSIA - YEE CHE FONG PHILIPPINES - H. J. BRILLANTES

- 6. FOR GENEVA: WE WOULD WELCOME ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS WHICH MISSION GENEVA MAY WISH TO PROVIDE ON THE CURRENT ATTITUDES OF PERMANENT MISSIONS NOTED PARA. 5 PLUS NIGERIA TOWARD THE WORK OF THE IPG AND A MT CONVENTION.
- 7. THE PURPOSE OF THE IPG IS TO ELABORATE A PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF A CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT. POSTS SHOULD EXPLAIN THAT THE ULTIMATE US OBJECTIVE IN THE IPG NEGOTIATIONS WOULD BE THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DRAFT CONVENTION LIMITED TO PRIVATE LAW LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 STATE 075993

ISSUES, WHICH WOULD ADDRESS SUCH BASIC COMMERCIAL MATTERS AS SHIPPING DOCUMENTATION, LIABILITY AND INSURANCE AND

THE LIKE AS THEY AFFECT TRANSPORTATION BY MULTIMODAL MEANS SUCH AS RAIL, ROAD, AND MARITIME. A PRIVATE LAW CONVENTION (E.G., THE HAGUE CONVENTION OF 1924, TS 931, REGARDING RULES FOR BILLS OF LADING) PRIMARILY ESTABLISHES A SYSTEM OF RULES AND REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO PRIVATE TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS. ALTHOUGH STATES NEGOTIATE AND RATIFY SUCH A CONVENTION AND ENACT IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION WHERE NECESSARY, ITS TERMS GOVERN TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS. CORPORATIONS, AND OTHER NONGOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES. ON THE OTHER HAND, A QUOTE PUBLIC LAW CONVENTION UNQUOTE (E.G., THE LOAD LINES CONVENTION OF 1966, TIAS 6331) PRIMARILY ADDRESSES RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF SOVEREIGN STATES IN THEIR RELATION TO EACH OTHER. ALTHOUGH INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS, AND OTHER NONGOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES MAY BE AFFECTED THEREBY, THE PRIMARY FOCUS OF A PUBLIC LAW CONVENTION IS UPON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS. WHILE MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT COULD INCLUDE BREAK-BULK (NORMALLY PALLETIZED) SHIPMENTS, FOR THE MOST PART IT INVOLVES CONTAINERIZED CARGOES. THE PURPOSE OF ANY CONVENTION WOULD BE TO STANDARDIZE AND FACILITATE THE MULTIMODAL MOVEMENT. FOR EXAMPLE, UNDER A CONVENTION, A SHIPMENT FROM ATLANTA TO FRANKFURT COULD BE UNDER A SINGLE BILL OF LADING AND A SINGLE INSURANCE REGIME. SUCH SHIPMENTS ARE NOW DONE REGULARLY IN THE COMMERCIAL WORLD, BUT THEY INVOLVE MULTIPLE LIABILITY RULES AND COMPLEX DOCUMENTATION.

8. WHILE OTHER OECD COUNTRIES GENERALLY SHARE OUR OBJECTIVES IN THE IPG, THE GROUP OF 77 HAS PRESSED FOR A CONVENTION THAT WOULD CONTAIN PUBLIC LAW PROVISIONS AND

REQUIRE MANDATORY, RATHER THAN OPTIONAL, APPLICATION OF ANY CONVENTION TO ALL MT OPERATORS. IF THESE BASIC LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 06 STATE 075993

DIFFERENCES CANNOT BE RESOLVED, THE UTILITY OF CONTINUING TO WORK IN THE IPG TO DEVELOP A CONVENTION IS IN DOUBT. TO DATE, THERE HAVE BEEN 4 IPG'S--OCTOBER 1973, 1 WEEK; NOVEMBER 1974, 3 WEEKS; JANUARY 1976, 2 WEEKS; JANUARY 1977, 3 WEEKS; NOVEMBER 1977, 2 WEEKS. THE RESULTS OF 11 WORKING WEEKS HAVE NOT BEEN ENCOURAGING. THE ONLY SIGNIFICANT DRAFTING ACCOMPLISHED HAS BEEN IN THE AREAS OF DOCUMENTATION AND SCOPE OF APPLICATION. WITHIN THESE AREAS, ANY SIGNIFICANT ARTICLES HAVE BEEN BRACKETED. ADMITTEDLY, THE SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS ARE BASICALLY LEGAL AND QUITE COMPLICATED IN SCOPE. HOWEVER, THE REPORT OF OUR DELEGATION TO EACH SESSION HAS BEEN UNEQUIVOCAL IN ITS JUDGMENT ABOUT THE PAUCITY OF WORK PRODUCED TO DATE. FURTHERMORE, GROUP B (OECD COUNTRIES) IS NOT IN GENERAL AGREEMENT THAT THERE IS A NEED FOR A

CONVENTION; GROUP 77 IS FRAGMENTED; AND GROUPS B AND 77 SEEM TO CLASH ON EVERY SUBSTANTIVE ISSUE. THE CARRIER SEGMENT OF US INDUSTRY HAS CONSISTENTLY BELIEVED THAT NO PRIVATE LAW CONVENTION BENEFICIAL TO THEIR INTERESTS COULD EMERGE FROM THE IPG. THIS ALSO APPEARS TO BE THE GENERAL VIEWPOINT OF SEVERAL USG AGENCIES (STATE, FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION, CUSTOMS, AND MARITIME ADMINISTRATION).

9. FYI: RESPONSES RECEIVED AS A RESULT OF EMBASSY/
MISSION INQUIRIES WILL BE UTILIZED IN PREPARATION OF A
DEPARTMENT ACTION MEMORANDUM WHICH WILL BE CIRCULATED
FOR APPROVAL OF INTERESTED GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WHEREIN
THERE WILL BE 2 OPTIONS--(A) WITHDRAW FROM THE 5TH
IPG AND SEND ONLY AN OBSERVER; (B) CONTINUE FULL
PARTICIPATION I THE IPG. AS A HEDGE AGAINST THE
BURNING OF BRIDGES, OPTION A WOULD CONTAIN 2 PROVISOS:
THAT THE USG COULD DELAY ANY FINAL DECISION UNTIL AFTER
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 07 STATE 075993

PROSPECTS FOR THE 5TH IPG ARE DISCUSSED AT A PROPOSED JUNE 14-16 MEETING OF GROUP B COUNTRIES; AND THAT IF THE USG LATER DECIDES THAT THE 5TH IPG MAY IN FACT PRODUCE RESULTS BENEFICIAL TO THE US, THEN IT COULD AT THE LAST MINUTE DECIDE TO PARTICIPATE. IN ANY EVENT, THE DEPARTMENT BELIEVES IT IMPERATIVE THAT A SIGNAL NOW BE GIVEN TO IPG PARTICIPANTS THAT THE USG IS NOT ONLY DISAPPOINTED WITH THE RESULTS OF THE IPG THUS FAR, BUT IS CRITICALLY EXAMINING ITS FUTURE PARTICIPATION. WHILE THE USDEL HAS MADE ITS JUDGMENTS KNOWN AT THE IPG'S, WE HOPE THAT A MORE CRITICAL AND CLOSER EXAMINATION CAN POSSIBLY BE MADE OF THE PROBLEM IN THIS INTERIM PERIOD OUTSIDE THE UNCTAD FORUM. END FYI. VANCE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 26 sep 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION, INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 24 mar 1978 Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014 Disposition Event: Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE075993
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: EB/TRA/MA:REJOHE:PJP
Enclosure: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a

Expiration: Format: TEL

Film Number: D780130-0728

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t197803126/baaafcen.tel Line Count: 256

Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Message ID: da6c5ebb-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EB

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators:

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 29 apr 2005 Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a

Review Media Identifier: Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 3166913 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: RE-EVALUATION OF USG PARTICIPATION IN THE FIFTH UNCTAD INTERGOVERNMENTAL PREPARATORY GROUP (IPG) ON

INTERNATIONAL MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT TAGS: EWWT, PORG, US, UNCTAD To: MEXICO LIMA MULTIPLE

Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/da6c5ebb-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review

20 Mar 2014

Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014